## Nuclear Fusion Rate of the Muonic $T_3$ Molecule

M. R. Eskandari<sup>1</sup>, F. Faghihi<sup>2</sup>

The ground state binding energy, size, and effective nuclear charge of the muonic  $T_3$  molecule are calculated using Born-Oppenheimer adiabatic approximation [1,2]. The system possesses two minimun positions. A symmetric planar vibrational model between two minima is assumed and the approximated potential are calculated in this region. Moreover, nuclear fusion rate calculations of the short-life molecule is carried out due to the overlap integral of the resonance nuclear compound nucleus and the molecular wave functions. The molecular wave function,  $\Psi_{mol}$ , representing the motion of  $^6Li^*$  and t nuclei under the influence of an effective attractive potential and strong Coulomb repulsion, in the unit of  $\hbar = e^2 = c = 1$ , is written using phenomenological idea so that at large distances the wave function, which describes the size of the molecule, decreases exponentially:

$$\Psi_{mol}(r) = N_{mol} \frac{F_0(\eta, r)}{r} e^{-kr} \tag{1}$$

where  $k = 2m_t \mathcal{E}_t$  is the wave vector, and  $N_{mol}$  is the normalization factor calculated due to the molecular size, and  $F_0(\eta, r)$  is the regular Coulomb solution which its parameters are defined in the full text. The probability of nuclear fusion,  $\mathcal{W} = 2\pi(|\mathcal{E}_t - E_{th}|)| < \Psi_{mol}|\Psi_{res} > |^2$ , is obtained from the overlap between the molecular and resonance wave functions. The resonance state  $\Psi_{res}(r)$  is simply chosen as an outgoing Coulomb s-wave:

$$\Psi_{res}(r) = N_{res} \frac{e^{i\eta \ln qr}}{r} \tag{2}$$

where  $\eta = Z_{eff} \frac{q}{k}$  being the Sommerfeld parameter (here the fine structure constant  $\alpha$  is equal to 1 in a.u.), and  $q = \sqrt{2m_t |\mathcal{E}_t - E_{th}|}$ , respectively.  $\mathcal{E}_t$  is called the relative outgoing energy which is computed, and  $E_{th}$  is the threshold energy. Also  $N_{res}$  is the normalization factor in the nuclear volume. To avoid to more complexity, we ignored the  $\Omega$  dependency of the wave function. According to the above assumptions, the transition amplitude (after integrating analytically over r), is given by:

$$\mathcal{A} = 4\pi N_{mol} N_{res} \frac{e^{(-\pi\eta/2)} (\frac{q}{k})^{(i\eta)} \Gamma(2+i\eta)}{k^2 \Gamma(1-i\eta)} \int_0^1 \frac{(\frac{1-t}{t})^{i\eta}}{[1-i(2t-1)]^{1\eta+2}} dt.$$
 (3)

We expect that  $\frac{q}{k}$  is close to 1 near threshold and hence  $\eta \approx Z_{eff}$ . The Complex integrand is computed numerically [3]. Result for the  $\eta=2.8$  is equal to a complex number 0.3022i-0.04153. Finally, the probability of the nuclear fusion is calculated and our results as well as others are given in the full text.

- [1] M. R. Eskandari, F. Faghihi, and R. Gheisari, Int. J. Mod. Phys. C13, 689(2002).
- [2] M. R. Eskandari, F. Faghihi, Int. J. Quantum Chem. 93, 377(2003).
- [3] F. Faghihi, K. Hadad, Appl. Math. and Comp., Elsevier Publisher In Press (2004).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Physics, Shiraz University, Shiraz 71454, Iran

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Nuclear Engineering, Shiraz University, Shiraz 71345, Iran